

MODIFIED LATIN SQUARE TYPE PBIB DESIGNS

K. R. AGGARWAL

Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana (India)

(Received in August, 1972; Accepted in November, 1975)

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we give a three associate-class association scheme, by slightly modifying the definition of $L_i(s)$ association scheme given by Bose and Shimamoto (1952) for the s^2 symbols. We shall call the new association scheme as modified Latin-square-type ($ML_i(s)$) association scheme with i constraints and the corresponding PBIB designs as $ML_i(s)$ designs. Two series of $ML_i(s)$ designs with their usefulness as confounded s^2 symmetrical factorial experiments is also discussed, therein. For the definitions of the various statistical terms henceforth used, we refer to Raghavarao (1971).

2. $ML_i(s)$ DESIGNS

We define $ML_i(s)$ association scheme for the s^2 symbols as following :

Definition 2.1. Let s^2 symbols be arranged in $s \times s$ square array

$$(2.1) \begin{array}{cccccc} & 1 & 2 & \dots & \dots & s^* \\ s+1 & & s+2 & \dots & \dots & 2s \\ - & - & & \dots & \dots & - \\ & - & - & \dots & \dots & - \\ & - & - & \dots & \dots & - \\ & - & - & \dots & \dots & - \\ s^2-s+1 & s^2-s+2 & \dots & \dots & \dots & s^2 \end{array}$$

Let $(i-2)$ mutually orthogonal latin-squares (MOLS) of orders exist. Let these $(i-2)$ MOLS be superimposed on this square array. Two symbols will be called

- (1) first associates, if they occur in the same row or column of the array ;

- (2) second associates, if they occur in positions occupied by the same letter in any of the $(i-2)$ MOLS; and
- (3) third associates, otherwise.

The parameters of the $ML_i(s)$ association scheme will be

$$n_i = 2(s-1), n_2 = (i-1)(s-2), n_3 = (s-1)(s-i+1),$$

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} (s-2) & (i-2) & (s-i+1) \\ (i-2) & (i-2)(i-3) & (i-2)(s-i+1) \\ (s-i+1) & (i-2)(s-i+1) & (s-i)(s-i+1) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(2.2) P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2(i-3) & 2(s-i+1) \\ 2(i-3) & (s-2) + (i-3)(i-4) & (i-3)(s-i+1) \\ 2(s-i+1) & (i-3)(s-i+1) & (s-i)(s-i+1) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2(i-2) & 2(s-i) \\ 2(i-2) & (i-2)(i-3) & (i-2)(s-i) \\ 2(s-i) & (s-i)(i-2) & (s-i)^2 + (i-2) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let N be the incidence matrix of a $ML_i(s)$ design with the parameters $v, b, r, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$. It can be verified that the characteristic roots of NN' will be $\theta_0 = rk, \theta_1 = r + (s-2)\lambda_1 - (i-2)\lambda_2 - (s-i+1)\lambda_3, \theta_2 = r - 2\lambda_1 + (s-i+2)\lambda_2 - (s-i+1)\lambda_3, \theta_3 = r - 2\lambda_1 - (i-2)\lambda_2 + (i-1)\lambda_3$, with their respective multiplicities $a_0 = 1, a_1 = 2(s-1), a_2 = (i-2)(s-1), a_3 = (s-1)(s-i+1)$.

3. CONSTRUCTION METHODS OF $ML_i(s)$ DESIGNS

We prove the following theorem :

Theorem 3.1 : A series of $ML_i(s)$ designs with the parameters (3.1) $v = s^2, b = (i-2)_s, r = (i-2), k = s, \lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 1, \lambda_3 = 0$, can be constructed when $(i-2)$ MOLS exist.

Proof : Let each of the $(i-2)$ MOLS be superimposed on the $s \times s$ array given in (2.1) of the s^2 symbols of the $ML_i(s)$ association scheme. Then the $(i-2)_s$ sets, each of s symbols, formed by symbols corresponding to the different letters of the latin squares, constitute the series of $ML_i(s)$ designs with the parameters given in (3.1).

We define pseudo $ML_s(s)$ association scheme as following :

Definition 3.1. Let a latin square be superimposed on the $s \times s$ array given in (2.1) of s^2 symbols. Two symbols will be called

- (1) first associates, if they occur in the same row or column of the array ;

- (2) third associates, if they occur in positions occupied by the same letter of the latin square ; and
- (3) second associates, otherwise.

The parameters of the pseudo $ML_s(s)$ association scheme, will be the same as given in (2.2), by taking $i=s$. The pseudo $ML_s(s)$ association scheme will be the usual $ML_s(s)$ association scheme when s is a prime or a prime power. The PBIB designs with the pseudo $ML_s(s)$ association scheme will be called the pseudo $ML_s(s)$ designs.

We prove another theorem as following :

Theorem 3.2 : A pseudo $ML_s(s)$ design with the parameters (3.2) $v=b=s^2, r=s-1=k, \lambda_1=0, \lambda_2=1, \lambda_3=0$ can be constructed when $(s+1)$ is a prime or a prime power.

Proof : Let s^2 symbols be arranged in an $s \times s$ array. As $(s+1)$ is a prime or prime power, s MOLS of order $(s+1)$ will exist. Out of these s MOLS, $(s-1)$ latin squares can always be written in the form having different letters in the diagonal positions and one latin square will have all zeroes in the diagonal positions.* Let the first s rows and the first s columns of these MOLS be superimposed on the $s \times s$ array. Then s^2+s sets, s^2 sets being of the size $(s-1)$ and s sets being of the size s , can be formed by the symbols corresponding to different letters of the latin squares. Deleting s sets each of size s from these s^2+s sets, we get the pseudo $ML_s(s)$ design with the parameters given in (3.2).

Illustration : Let us construct $ML_4(4)$ design with the parameters

$$(3.3) \quad v=16=b, r=3=k, \lambda_1=0, \lambda_2=1, \lambda_3=0.$$

*Let L_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, s$) be s MOLS of order $(s+1)$. Let x be a primitive root of GF $(s+1)$. Then as reported in Raghavarao (1971)

	0	x^{i-1}	x^{s-1}	1	x^{i-2}
	1	$1+x^{i-1}$	$1+x^{s-1}$	2	$1+x^{i-2}$
	x	$x+x^{i-1}$	$x+x^{s-1}$	$x+1$	$x+x^{i-2}$
$L_i=$	—	—	—	—	—
	—	—	—	—	—, $i=1, 2, \dots, s.$
	—	—	—	—	—
	x^{s-1}	$x^{s-1}+x^{i-1}$	$2x^{s-1}$	$x^{s-1}+1$	$x^{s-1}+x^{i-2}$

Then the diagonal elements of the latin-square L_i will be $(1+x^{i-1})$ $(0, 1, x, \dots, x^{s-1})$, $i=1, 2, \dots, s$. Clearly all the diagonal elements will be distinct except in the case when $1+x^{i-1}=0$.

Let the 16 symbols be represented by

$$(3.4) \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 \end{array}$$

The four MOLS of order 5 in the form mentioned in the proof of the theorem 3.2 are

$$(3.5) \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 1 \end{array}, \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 4 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 4 \end{array}$$

$$(3.5) \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 0 \end{array}, \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 \end{array}$$

Superimposing the first four rows and first four columns of these MOLS on the 4×4 square array given in (3.4), we get the following 16 sets each of size 3 symbols, after deleting 4 sets each of size 4 :

$$(3.6) \begin{array}{l} (1, 14, 8), (9, 6, 3), (13, 11, 4), (10, 7, 16), (1, 7, 12), (5, 14, 11), \\ (9, 2, 16), (6, 15, 4), \\ (5, 10, 4), (9, 15, 8), (13, 2, 7), (14, 3, 12), (1, 10, 15), (5, 3, 16), \\ (13, 6, 12), (2, 11, 8). \end{array}$$

These 16 sets constitute the $ML_4(4)$ design with the parameters given in (3.3).

4. CONFOUNDED s^2 SYMMETRICAL FACTORIAL EXPERIMENTS

Let s^2 treatment combinations of the s^2 symmetrical factorial experiments in factors A, B, each at 0, 1, ..., (s-1) levels, represent

the s^2 symbols of the $ML_i(s)$ association scheme. Let $s \times s$ square array be

$$(4.1) \begin{array}{cccc} 00 & 01 & 02 & 0(s-1) \\ 10 & 11 & 12 & 1(s-1) \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline (s-1)0 & (s-1)1 & (s-1)2 & (s-1)(s-1) \end{array}$$

A latin square of order s when superimposed on this array will partition (by forming sets of treatment combinations corresponding to the same letter of the latin square) the s^2 treatment combinations into s sets each of s treatment combinations confounding $(s-1)$ degrees of freedom pertaining to the interaction AB. We shall say that the confounded $(s-1)$ degrees of freedom belong to that particular latin square. Thus when $(i-2)$ MOLS are available, we can have $(i-2)$ replications of the s^2 symmetrical factorial experiment confounding $(i-2)(s-1)$ degrees of freedom pertaining to the interaction AB or belonging to $(i-2)$ MOLS (comparisons for each of these d.f. will be mutually orthogonal) and the other $(s-1)(s-i+1)$ degrees of freedom pertaining to the interaction AB will remain unconfounded. The series of $ML_i(s)$ designs given in Theorem 3.1 can be used as the confounded s^2 symmetrical factorial experiments.

Following Shah (1958), the relative loss of information on each of $(i-2)(s-1)$ degrees of freedom belonging to the interaction AB or belonging to $(i-2)$ MOLS, will be $1/(i-2)$ and the remaining $(s-1)(s-i+1)$ degrees of freedom belonging to the interaction AB and $2(s-1)$ degrees of freedom belonging to the main effects A, B remain unconfounded.

An advantage of the new series of $ML_i(s)$ designs given in Theorem 3.1 is that the s^2 symmetrical factorial experiments can be constructed in smaller number of replications.

The series of pseudo $ML_s(s)$ designs can also be used as the confounded s^2 experiments in $(s-1)$ replications when $(s+1)$ is a prime or a prime power. The relative loss of information on each of $2(s-1)$ degrees of freedom of the main effects A, B is $1/(s-1)^2$ and on each of $(s-2)(s-1)$ degrees of freedom other than $(s-1)$ degrees of freedom pertaining to the interaction AB is $(s+1)/(s-1)^2$ and on each of these $(s-1)$ degrees of freedom is $1/(s-1)^2$. These $(s-1)$ degrees of freedom pertaining to the interaction AB, will be carried by the s sets each of s treatment combinations deleted to obtain

the s^2 sets in the proof of Theorem 3.2. The pseudo $ML_6(6)$ and pseudo $ML_{10}(10)$ designs with the parameters

$$(4.2) \quad v = 36 = b, \quad r = 5 = k, \lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 1, \lambda_3 = 0$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad v = 100 = b, \quad r = 9 = k, \lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 1, \lambda_3 = 0$$

are useful PBIB designs which can be taken as the confounded 6^2 and 10^2 symmetrical factorial experiments.

REFERENCES

- Bose, R.C. and Shimamoto, T. (1952) : Classifications and analysis of partially balanced incomplete block designs with two associate classes. *Jour. Am. Stat. Assn.* 47, 151-184.
- Raghavarao, D. (1971) : *Constructions and Combinatorial Problems in Design of Experiments*. Wiley, New York.
- Shah, B.V. (1958) : On balancing in factorial experiments. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 29, 766-779.